

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(212)

CWP No. 3190 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.09.2023

Sumitra Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioners No. 13, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 26.

Mr. Surya Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the remaining petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Shekhawat, Advocate
for respondents No. 14, 15, 16, 18, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31,
34 and 37.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Bhatia, Advocate for respondent No. 35.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the relief claimed in the present petition has already been extended to the petitioners during the pendency of the present petition hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed and in case, the petitioners are still prejudiced due to any act on the part of the respondents or are entitled for any other claim, they be given liberty to

file appropriate representation with the respondents and the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioners raising any claim, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed.

Ordered accordingly.

Any civil miscellaneous application, if pending, also stands disposed of.

September 14, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No