

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRM-M-39953-2023

Date of decision: 16.08.2023

Gurkirat Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 for taking appropriate action on his representation/application dated 27.09.2022 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even though the application (Annexure P-1) was moved to respondent No.2 way back in September, 2022 and had been marked to respondent No.3, however, the authorities concerned had been sitting over the matter and had failed to take appropriate legal action against the private respondents. He submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.3 in the light of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*, and to take action on his application.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G.,

Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the application/representation dated 27.09.2022, then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari's case (supra)*.

16.08.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No