

252

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20901-2022

Date of decision: 19.10.2023

Gaurav Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Parmender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-16) for appointment of the petitioner in the Home (Police) Department in terms of appointments made to the other Mountaineers who have scaled Mount Everest since 2005.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given legal notice dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-16) to respondent authorities and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the said the competent authority of respondent-State is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-16) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then to

grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent-State would consider the said legal notice dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-16) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent-State to consider the legal notice dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-16) in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent-State would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

19.10.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No