

**240 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:107347
CRM-M-39076-2023
Date of Decision: August 18, 2023**

Manpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Berwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.411, dated 16.08.2022, under Section 392 IPC (Section 395 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa.

Allegations are that on 16.08.2022, three unknown persons snatched amount of ₹11,43,024/- from complainant Mukesh Kumar. One of them had muffled his face. With the help of CCTV footage, complainant tried to identify the snatchers, but could not succeed. Initially, FIR was lodged under Section 392 IPC, but during interrogation, Section 395 IPC was added. It is further the case of the prosecution that during investigation, complainant made supplementary statement and named Jagsir Ram to be one of the culprits, who was arrested on 17.08.2022. He disclosed the names of Major Ram, Sukhpreet @ Sukhi and petitioner-Manpreet Singh to be the co-culprits.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused and that co-accused Sukhdev Ram @ Sabi and Major Ram have already been allowed regular bail vide orders dated 24.04.2023

(Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively).

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2022 and as the trial is likely to take time, so the petitioner be granted the concession of regular bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid contention to the effect that the case of the petitioner is on the same footing with the co-accused.

Custody certificate placed on record reveal that petitioner is in custody from the last 01 year, though he is involved in one more case.

Having regard to the aforesaid factual position and on the basis of parity, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned.

Allowed.

August 18, 2023

sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No