

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-34802-2019

Date of Decision: 15.03.2023

Jorawar Singh Bhinder

....Petitioner

Versus

Inder Pal Singh Dhaliwal and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. P.S. Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrit Singh Kang, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The complainant (petitioner herein) has come up before this Court seeking cancellation of bail granted to the accused/respondents No.1 & 2 vide order dated 18.07.2019, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, in case FIR No.179 dated 22.06.2019, registered at Police Station Division no.8, Ludhiana for offences punishable under Sections 177, 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC.

I have heard counsel for the complainant and on realizing that this Court is likely to cancel the bail, the accused counsel states that the bail should not be cancelled and he would have no objection if some stringent conditions are added in the order dated 18.07.2019.

Given above, in the order dated 18.07.2019, the following condition is added:-

Respondents No.1 & 2 shall forward notarized affidavit to the Investigator/SHO and the complainant/victim(s) regarding complete details of bank account numbers with addresses, fixed deposits, DEMAT account numbers, the current market value of jewellery, sovereign metals, all precious articles, held either individually or jointly, and cash-in-hand.

It is clarified that in case, the accused fails to comply with the newly added condition, it shall be permissible for the complainant to file a fresh application for cancellation of bail and on this ground alone, the bail will be cancelled.

Petition is partly allowed in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

15.03.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no