

246

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20950-2020

Date of decision : 21.02.2023

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Baljinder Singh Ichhewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab, for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take action against the private respondents with respect to taking illegal possession of public street.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that street has been substantially restored and now, passage upto 16 feet is clear and thus, the main grievance stands satisfied but however, it has been submitted by the petitioner that the petitioner be permitted to represent to the respondents-authorities with respect to the aspect that the street should be 22 feet wide, instead of 16 feet.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move a representation to the authorities in case any grievance with respect to the width of the street subsists.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

21.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No