

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(216) **CWP-29983-2017**
Date of Decision : September 13, 2023

Reena and others **.. Petitioners**

Versus

Mission Director, National Health Mission, Panchkula and others
.. Respondents

(216-2) **CWP-1574-2018**

Poonam Devi **.. Petitioner**

Versus

Mission Director, NRHM and others **.. Respondents**

(216-3) **CWP-1055-2018**

Naveen Rani **.. Petitioner**

Versus

Mission Director, National Health Mission and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners
in CWP-29983-2017.

Ms. Yamini Nain, Advocate, for
Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-1574-2018.

Mr. Aman, Advocate, for
Mr. Vineet Dhanda, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-1055-2018.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, three writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the writ petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

2. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that in the present petitions, the order terminating the service of the petitioners has been passed but without considering the actual facts.

3. Learned senior counsel further submits that the persons similarly situated as the petitioners were continuing in service whereas the services of the petitioners were terminated due to which the impugned order dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P-24) is bad.

4. Learned State counsel submits that though the reasons have been given in the impugned order for terminating the services of the petitioners but apart from those reasons, there exist other reasons also qua the manner in which the selection of the petitioners was made wherein, certain observations have been made by the competent Court of law, hence, as the petitioners are already continuing in service keeping in view the interim order passed, the impugned order dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P-24) may kindly be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order in accordance with law keeping in view all the facts and circumstances of the cases.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners be given appropriate opportunity of hearing before passing of the order afresh.

6. Learned State counsel submits that appropriate hearing will be given to the petitioners before passing the fresh order.

CWP-29983-2017 and other connected cases

2023:PHHC:120639

3

7. Keeping in view the fact that the impugned order dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P-24) has been withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order, the present petitions have been rendered infructuous and the same are disposed of as such.

September 13, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No