

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(226)

CWP-23850-2019

Date of Decision : December 14, 2023

Sushil Kumar and others**.. Petitioners****Versus****Chairman, Executive Committee and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that though the petitioners are working under the scheme being run by the National Health Mission, as being executed by the State of Haryana and they can be transferred from one scheme to another of National Health Mission only but the petitioners cannot be transferred to any other scheme being executed by Government of Haryana, which is not under the jurisdiction of the National Health Mission.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have no difficulty in performing the duties under any of the scheme being run by the National Health Mission, the program which was being executed by the State of Haryana.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioners are working

under the scheme which is being run by the National Health Mission, the program which was being executed by the State of Haryana and the services of the petitioners will remain under any of the scheme, which is being run by the National Health Mission, the program which was being executed by the State of Haryana only. Learned State counsel further submits that till the work of the post on which the petitioners are working, exist in the scheme run by the National Health Mission, the program which was being executed by the State of Haryana, the petitioners will be allowed to continue in case their work and conduct remain satisfactory.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present writ petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for some of the period, the salary of the petitioners has not been paid to them.

6. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received with regard to the non-payment of the salary for any period, the same will be considered keeping in view the record being maintained by the authorities concerned and in case, it is found that any salary is yet to be paid to the petitioners for which the petitioners had discharged the duties, the same will be extended to them within a further period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the above, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

December 14, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No