

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29955-2017
DECIDED ON: 05.07.2023

ANIL KUMAR AND OTHERS
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate with
Mr. Shivam Malik, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Written statement on behalf of respondent No.3 has been filed, which is taken on record.

A copy of the same has been supplied to counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has drawn attention of this Court to para No. 3 of the written statement stating that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous, as the petitioners are continuance in service under the outsourcing policy through Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam and in view of the same, counsel for the petitioners has no grouse, if the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered to be dismissed as infructuous.

05.07.2023

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No