

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17547-2023

Date of Decision : 18.08.2023

Amarjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Short reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 has been filed and same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he is physically disabled and cannot perform the duty of the post of Driver hence, he should be accommodated to any other Class III post.

In Para-3 of the reply filed, it has been mentioned that there are certain posts of class III where the petitioner can be deputed and whatever post the petitioner will choose, wherever he feels comfortable to discharge the duty, will be posted against the said post.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the reply filed, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent to give the option as to on which post he intends to work.

Ordered accordingly.

18.08.2023

ps-I

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Yes

No