

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH  
(Sr. No. 104+246)

(1)

CWP-27939-2018 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 28.11.2023

Parveen Rai Sagar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(2)

CWP-3630-2021

Harpal Saini and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. S.K. Daaria, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,  
Haryana.

Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for respondents No. 4, 5, 6,  
7, 9 and 12 in CWP-27939-2018 and  
for respondents No. 4, 24, 34, 35, 36 and 37  
in CWP-3630-2021.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

**CM-17168-CWP-2023 in CWP-27939-2018**

Present application has been filed for placing on record the  
information received by the petitioners under the RTI Act, 2005.

Application is allowed and the information received by the petitioners under the RTI Act, 2005 is taken on record with all just exceptions.

**CWP-27939-2018 and CWP-3630-2021**

1. By this common order, two writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as both these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

2. In the present petitions, the challenge is to the merit list Annexure P-4, by which the selection to the post of Forest Guard has been made.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that some of the petitioners have already been selected as per the revised merit list but the benefit of the said revised merit list is not being extended to those petitioners, which is causing prejudice to them. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners, who have not been selected even as per the revised merit list, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further qua those petitioners.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioners, who claim that they have been selected in the revised merit list, will be considered in accordance with law and with regard to any benefit for which they will be found entitled for, an appropriate order will be passed within a period of four weeks after giving the due reasons.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the

present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

November 28<sup>th</sup>, 2023  
*kanchan*

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*

*Whether reportable : Yes/No*