

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CWP-29641-2017 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 02.11.2023.

RAVI DUTT

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Shama, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate and
Ms. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J (ORAL)

Prayer made in the present writ petition was for seeking
issuance of directions to the respondents to order probe into the bungling
done by respondent No.5.

State counsel prays for yet another opportunity to file status
report as regards the outcome of disciplinary proceedings initiated
against respondent No.5.

It is noticed that the present writ petition pertains to the year 2017 and the same is being adjourned repeatedly for the said reason.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.5, however, submits that the disciplinary proceedings have already culminated and respondent No.5 has been dismissed from service. It is further submitted that in so far as the criminal case is concerned, the proceedings have culminated in conviction of respondent No.5.

In view of aforesaid statement made by the counsel appearing for respondent No.5, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition in case the aforesaid information submitted by counsel appearing for respondent No.5 is found to be incorrect.

November 02, 2023
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No