

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-17228-2023

Decided on : 08.08.2023

Monika Gupta

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. Sylvester Stephen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for the respondents-HSVP.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been raised to the cancellation of bid qua Plot No.423, Sector-17, Panipat measuring 1 kanal.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that she had bid for ₹1,83,40,000/- against the reserve price of ₹1,62,25,000/- in e-auction conducted on 20.11.2022.

3. Admittedly, the plot has now been sold for ₹2,76,10,400/- to respondent No.4 against the revised reserve price of ₹1,94,76,200/-. Apparently, the facts would go on to show that the reserve price was wrongly fixed and substantial enhancement has been there and the State has been benefitted by the fresh bid.

4. Keeping in view the fact that there are valid reasons as such to put the property in re-acution, the petitioner would have no vested right just being

the highest bidder. The law stands settled in **Haryana Urban Development Authority and others vs. Orchid Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd., AIR 2017 SC 882** which we followed in similar circumstances in **CWP No.13656 of 2023 ‘Mahavir Singh Vs. Haryana Shehari Vikas Pradhikaran and others’** decided on 07.08.2023. Merely because a similar plot bearing No.447, Sector-17, Panipat has been allotted to one Smt. Chander Kanta @ ₹1,63,45,000/- on 19.09.2022 regarding an auction dated 30.08.2022 would also be of no help to the petitioner, in view of the fact that price can be vary from plot to plot.

5. Resultantly, on account of public money being involved, we do not see any reason to exercise our extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction. The writ petition stands dismissed, accordingly.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

08.08.2023
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No