

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CRM-M-39118-2023

Date of decision: 12.10.2023

Manish Kumar Yadav and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vishavjeet Singh Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.294 dated 10.12.2022 under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC, Sections 63, 63-A of the Copy Rights Act, 1957 and Section 7 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 registered at Police Station Division No.8, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 10.08.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 12.09.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, in pursuance of the directions of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

12.10.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No