

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CWP-17477-2023

Date of decision: - 10.08.2023

Pooja

....Petitioner

Versus

Kurukshetra University and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the result of 3rd Semester dated 02.05.2023 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner, with a further prayer for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to reevaluate the result of Bio Technology exam of 3rd Semester given by the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 05.06.2023 (Annexure P-13) and the petitioner would be satisfied in case the competent authority of respondent No.1- University is directed to consider the said representation and if the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant her the appropriate relief.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the

present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent-University to take into consideration the representation dated 05.06.2023 (Annexure P-13) as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the competent authority is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be also granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent-University would consider the said representation independently, in accordance with law.

August 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No