

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17332-2023

Date of Decision : 09.08.2023

Moji Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Midha, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order dated 24.06.2022 (Annexure P-5) has been passed by recording the wrong facts that the petitioner has worked as a seasonal worker and has not discharged the duties for whole of the year so as to claim the benefit of the daily wage service w.e.f. 10.07.1992 to 01.10.2023 and the details of the service mentioned in the impugned order are incorrect.

It may be noticed that the present impugned order was passed on 24.06.2022 and more than one year has already elapsed as of now. On being asked, as to whether, the petitioner has approached the authorities concerned raising the grievance that the impugned order has been passed on incorrect facts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said facts has not been brought to the notice of the authorities concerned.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner was requested to point out the ground taken in the present petition that the order impugned has been passed on the basis of the wrong facts. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, the said averment has also not been raised in the present petition.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage, with liberty to approach the respondents with the plea that the impugned order dated 24.06.2022 (Annexure P-5) is factually incorrect.

Ordered accordingly.

09.08.2023

ps-I

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes

Whether reportable?

No