

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CWP-29459-2017
Date of decision: 20.07.2023

SURAJ AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - None for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents No.1 to 4 to provide compensation and rehabilitation to the petitioner No.1 and 2 as provided in the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Rules, 1995.

In its order dated 24.03.2022, it was noticed as under:

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify whether trial has been concluded or not. In the reply filed by the State, it has been alleged that in view of Clause 45 of the Gazette of India, an amount of Rs.12,62,500/- was released in favour of the petitioners in terms of order dated 29.06.2017 and an amount of Rs.12,87,500/- was released on 31.07.2017. On conclusion of the trial, remaining amount of Rs.25,000/- will be

paid. Let necessary information be brought on record by the adjourned date. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.

Learned State counsel for the State on instructions from Mr. Surender Kumar, Asstt. O/o District Welfare Office, Sonipat informs that the trial has already been concluded and that the balance amount of Rs. 25,000/- would be disbursed to the petitioner on submission of his application in accordance with law to the competent authority.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, however, since the claim was for the release of the remaining and the respondents have no objection to the release thereof on submission of an application, I find that there is no other subsisting grievance that survives in the present petition. However, as there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

The dismissal of the present petition would, however, not operate as a bar against the petitioner to move an appropriate application before the concerned authority for disbursement of the remaining compensation as per the Rules.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JULY 20, 2023

Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No