

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

268 CRM-M-34949-2019
Date of decision: 04.10.2023

Mohd Javed KhanPetitioner

V/s

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Vishavjeet Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for petitioner as well as learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 request for adjournment, but there is absolutely no justification considering the reply filed by respondent No.1, as per which *challan* has already been filed after conclusion of the investigation and even eight witnesses have already been examined.

In these circumstances, there is no justification for quashing the FIR in question.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

October 04, 2023
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No