

2023:PHHC:149381

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CWP-27281-2018  
Date of Decision :-23.11.2023

Itbar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.S. Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the claim raised in the legal notice was rejected by the respondents vide impugned order but no process was undertaken by the respondents to withdraw the benefit of second ACP already granted to the petitioner and the petitioner had already retired from service, hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such but with the liberty to the petitioner that in case any prejudicial action is initiated by the respondents against the petitioner, the petitioner will be free to avail an appropriate remedy before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

November 23, 2023  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No