

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-29001-2017

Date of decision : 10.05.2023

Mukhtiaro

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Dinesh Kumar Singla, Advocate for
Mr.Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the inquiry report dated 04.12.2017.

2. Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, notice under Section 216 dated 29.11.2018 has been issued and no order under Section 216 has been passed as yet and thus, the present petition is premature. It is submitted that in case the petitioner files reply to the said notice within a period of 4 weeks from today, then the said reply would be taken into consideration before passing the final order under Section 216 which is appealable. It is stated that till the time the order is not passed by the competent authority under Section 216, no recovery is sought to be

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said statement of learned State counsel satisfies the petitioner and the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous and the State be bound by the statement made before this Court.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 10, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No