

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17211-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.09.2023**

Harpreet Kaur**.....Petitioner**

versus

State of Punjab and others**..... Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Yagyaang Ajay, Advocate and
Mr. Arnav Udai Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun William, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.

CM-15354-CWP-2023

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexures P-28 to P-39 are taken on record.

CWP-17211-2023 (O&M)

This petition has been filed praying for quashing the impugned notices dated 25.07.2023 (Annexure P-23) vide which petitioner has been summoned by the Vigilance Bureau Range, Ludhiana to produce the records pertaining to grant received by the Gram Panchayat, New Rajguru Nagar, Ludhiana and its utilization for laying of sewerage work in the area which is in grave violation of the instructions issued by the Government in Vigilance Department vide letter dated 22.02.1983 (Annexure P-1) and vide letter dated 24.03.1988 wherein complaints against Sarpanches, Panches and Municipal Councillors shall not be looked into by the Vigilance Department and will be passed on to

the Administrative Department for appropriate action, and further quashing the impugned notice dated 02.08.2023 (Annexure P-26) vide which respondent No.5 has called the petitioner and asked to produce the entire record whereas respondent No.5 is very well aware of the fact that the said proceedings of the enquiry are pending before respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that the LPA No.718 of 2023 pending before the Division Bench of this Court has already been decided on 16.08.2023 and the appellant has withdrawn the said appeal to enable her to raise the objections before the Vigilance Bureau or before the trial Court as the case may be in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for adjournment.

Prayer is rejected. When the LPA Bench has already decided the LPA, there is no purpose of keeping the present petition alive. So the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise objections before the Vigilance Bureau or before the trial Court as the case may be in accordance with law.

12.09.2023
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No