

128+133

2023:PHHC:106243

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 38600 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.08.2023

Pallavi Kharbanda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Arjun Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

CRM No.34046 of 2023

This application has been moved on behalf of the applicant-petitioner for placing the amended memo of parties on the record, with the correction therein to the extent of mentioning the respondent as 'State of Punjab' which has erroneously been mentioned as 'State of Haryana'.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the FIR, as sought to be quashed by way of the main petition, had been registered at Police Station Women, District Firozepur, falling within the territory of the State of Punjab, the present application is hereby allowed and the amended memo of parties, as already annexed with this application, is taken on the record.

CRM-M No.38600 of 2023

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the quashing of the FIR bearing No.13 dated 21.04.2023 registered at Police Station Women, District Firozepur, under Sections 498-A, 406 and 120-B IPC as well as all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Mr. Gurlal Singh Dhillon, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared in this case in pursuance of the copy of the present

petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from ASI Jagjit Singh from the afore-referred Police Station, he informs the Court that the investigation is still continuing and thus, the Challan has not yet been submitted in the Competent Court in connection with the criminal case under reference.

3. At this stage, Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate, has also put in appearance on behalf of the complainant in this petition and has submitted his power of attorney in the Court and the same is taken on the record.

4. However, in view of the above-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, at this stage, while submitting that if there need be, the petitioner would file a fresh petition for seeking the same relief, as prayed for in this petition, at the appropriate stage, i.e after the conclusion of the investigation proceedings.

5. Learned State counsel (assisted by learned counsel for the complainant) has no objection for the same.

6. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

August 17, 2023
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>