

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-M No.188 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.04.2023

NEELAM RANI
Versus

..... Appellant(s)

SANDEEP KUMAR

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: None for the appellant.

LISA GILL, J.

1. This appeal has been filed by the appellant-wife challenging judgment and decree dated 18.05.2012, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib, whereby petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, filed by the respondent-husband has been allowed *inter alia* noting the fact that appellant was admittedly on medication for some mental tension, which she had been facing since her maidenhood.

2. Perusal of the file reveals that none had been appeared on behalf of appellant on the last two occasions when this appeal was taken up for hearing. Today despite learned counsel for the appellant being notified of the date, there is no representation on behalf of appellant. It appears that appellant is not interested in pursuing the matter any longer. Appeal is accordingly dismissed in default/for non-prosecution.

3. Copy of this order be conveyed to the appellant at the address given in the memo of parties.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

24.04.2023

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No