

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRM-33031-2022 in/and
CRM-M-40619-2022
Decided on : March 16, 2023**

Didar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Kaur and others

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Srishti Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

CRM-33031-2022

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-40619-2022

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of impugned order dated 05.08.2017 (Annexure P-12), passed by the court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, SBS Nagar, in CrI. Compliant No.COMI-4948/2013 dated 23.09.2013 titled as Didar Singh versus Ranjit Kaur and others (Annexure P-9) under Sections 364, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC (Annexure P-9), vide which the complaint filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has sought an adjournment on 16.11.2022 to verify the fact with regard to the outcome of pending civil litigations between the parties and the case was adjourned to 07.01.2023 and on the said date, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the case was adjourned for today and today again a request for adjournment has been made to comply with the aforesaid order dated 16.11.2022.

Since, this case has been adjourned four times after being listed on 08.09.2022, either on the request of the counsel for the petitioner or on account of his non-appearance on the last date, the request for further adjournment cannot be acceded to this time and the same is declined.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one on the same case of action with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

March 16, 2023
mkkoundal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*