

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211 (02 cass)

(1)CWP-11084-2017
Date of Decision :-13.12.2023

Anoop Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(2)CWP-27120-2018

Sanjay Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate and Mr. Raja Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-11084-2017.

Mr. Rohit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner in
CWP-27120-2018.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sachmeet Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent No.4 in CWP-11084-2017

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the only claim
raised in the present petitions is that the petitioners, who had 19 years of

experience as Patwari are eligible to be appointed as Kanungo in the Patwar Training School and respondent No.4 has been illegally and wrongly appointed on the post in question.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners intend to approach the respondents by way of filing a representation that in case they can be accommodated to be appointed as Kanungo in the Patwar Training School, they be granted the appointment and prays that the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners, the same will be considered with open mind and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of any such representation and in case it is found feasible to adjust the petitioners, an appropriate order will be passed by giving due reasons for the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners that in case any order causing prejudice to the petitioners is passed by the respondents, they can avail an appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

December 13, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No