

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38514-2023 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 28.11.2023**

TARANDEEP SINGH @ TARNI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr. J.S. Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Addl.A.G., Punjab,  
assisted by SI Karamjit Kaur.

None for the complainant.

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.92 dated 17.07.2023, under Sections 307, 341, 323, 324, 506 read with Section 34 (Section 458 IPC added subsequently) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused inflicted injuries to Manjit Singh, son of the complainant, with an intention to kill him.

3. This Court, on 07.08.2023, granted interim bail to petitioner and relevant part of the same reads as under:-

*“Contends that neither petitioner is named in the FIR; nor any injury attracting offence punishable under Section 307 IPC has been attributed to him.*

*Notice of motion for 06.09.2023.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”*

4. Contends that in terms of the aforesaid order, petitioner has already joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. Above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police officer present in Court and further stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage.

6. In view of above, interim order dated 07.08.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

7. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

8. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.

- 9. Disposed off accordingly.
- 10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed off.

28.11.2023

atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

|                               |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :          | Yes | No |