

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-27020-2018
Date of decision : 13.03.2023

Anil Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate for respondent no.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the inquiry report dated 20.06.2018 (Annexure P-11) passed by respondent no.3 and order dated 13.07.2018 (Annexure P-12) passed by respondent no.2.

Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, the order of reinstatement of respondent no.5 has been challenged whereas the tenure of respondent no.5 has elapsed and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut this fact. No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 30.08.2022.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application to revive the same in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 13, 2023
Davinder Kumar