

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17532-2023

Date of decision : 01.12.2023

Joginder Lal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction to the respondents to make the payment of arrears of salary payable to them as per the second schedule which have not been released to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners have given three separate representations (Annexure P-8 to P-10) to the competent authority of respondent no.1 and at this stage, would be satisfied in case each representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said representations and

decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the said representations (Annexure P-8 to P-10) of the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then separate speaking orders rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the said representations independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 01, 2023.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No