

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(141)

CWP-17092-2023

Date of decision: - 07.08.2023

Jai Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) vide which the claim of the petitioner to get reinstated on the post of Home Guard Volunteers has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present writ petition, an appeal filed by the petitioner under Rule 25(4) of the Haryana Home Guards Rules, 1980 is pending and prays that the same be directed to be decided expeditiously within a time frame.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent No.2 would decide the appeal (Annexure P-3) as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the appeal dated 10.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

August 07, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No