

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CWP-26965-2018

Decided on : 31.10.2023

Lekh Ram

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Anoop Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present petition, prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for promotion to the Class-III post of Clerk as persons junior to the petitioner in the other districts have already been promoted.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was appointed on Class-IV post in the year 1998 and thereafter, on his request, he was transferred to another district in the year 2007 but thereafter, the Class-IV employees in the other districts have already got promotion to the post of clerk whereas the petitioner has not been given the said benefit.

3. In the reply filed by learned State counsel, the respondents have mentioned that promotion to Class-III post from Class-IV post is done district-wise and as the petitioner got himself transferred from Government High School, Budhwal to Government Primary School, Amarpura (Mahendergarh), his seniority will only start from said transfer date and no one from the school concerned i.e. the transferred school who was appointed after 31.07.2007, has been promoted to class III post.

4. Learned counsel further submits that as per the instructions of the petitioner, from the transferred school also, Class-IV employees who were appointed after the petitioner's transfer, have been promoted to class III post, hence, the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by giving the name of such junior candidates who have been promoted to Class-III post from the transferred school and the respondents be directed to decide the said claim in a time bound manner.

5. Learned State counsel submits that in case any such claim alongwith the date concerned is raised by the petitioner before the respondents, the same will be decided in accordance with law within a period of 8 weeks of the receipt of any such claim by passing appropriate speaking order.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to approach the respondents with representation.

7. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

31.10.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No