

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

227

CWP-26961-2018

Date of Decision: 06.02.2023

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM

... Petitioner

VERSUS

THE NILE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION AND ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Manuj Kaushik, Advocate and
Mr. Lakshay Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Sadana Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kumar Kanwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate,
Mr. Randhir Bawa and Mr. Dipanshu Kapur,
Advocates for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari raising a challenge to the order dated 28.06.2016 passed in Case No.DH-CGRF – 1352/2016 by the Forum for redressal of consumer grievance, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Established (CGRF) under Section 42(5) of The Electricity Act, 2003.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the order in question has already been set aside by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commissioner and as such, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

06.02.2023.

rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No