

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M 40439 of 2022

Date of Decision: 05.01.2023

Narinder Singh @ Giani

.....*Petitioner*

Versus

State of Punjab

.....*Respondent*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH.

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the complainant.

GURBIR SINGH, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case rapat/DDR no. 32 dated 19.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) in case FIR No.0239 (wrongly mentioned in order as 232) dated 18.11.2021, offence under Section 323, 341, 451, 447, 511, 506, 427, 148, 149 of IPC (Sections 420, 468, 471, 120-B IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sahnewal, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

The allegations against the petitioner are that after registration of the case, the petitioner was examined at Civil Hospital Sahnewal Ludhiana. Thereafter, he was referred to Rajindera Hospital for CT Scan and report of CT Scan was handed over to the petitioner in a sealed cover. The doctors gave the report that injuries were simple. The petitioner made a criminal conspiracy with the accused and while changing the report, he got mentioned that injuries were grievous in nature, so, Section 326 IPC was added against the other party.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of version and cross-version. He submits that the petitioner has falsely been

roped in and that the matter pertains to a property dispute between the petitioner and the complainant.

Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the application. The petitioner prepared the documents and misused it.

Heard.

As per the status report, challan in the main case i.e. FIR No.239 dated 18.11.2021 and cross case is complete and final report under Section 173 Cr. P.C. has already been submitted in the concerned Court at Ludhiana.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case and taking into account the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, in my opinion, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner behind bars any further. The petition, as such, is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

If any attempt whatsoever is made by the petitioner to contact/threaten/intimidate any member of the complainant's family (also the family of the petitioner), the complainant/State shall be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

January 05, 2023
 jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No