

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Writ Petition No. 20006 of 2022

Date of Decision: 07.02.2023

Vinod Kumar and Others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. R.S.Pandher, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondents.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The petitioners before this Court stand convicted by the Criminal Court under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.
2. Through this writ petition, the petitioners pray for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to release/grant them the pension, gratuity and the other permissible benefits. Admittedly, the petitioners were dismissed from service.
3. In the writ petition, the petitioners have relied upon the Rule 2.2 (2) of the Punjab Civil Services Rules Volume-II which only relates to the matter of recoveries. The learned counsel representing the petitioners fails to draw the attention of the Court to any provision in the service rules or policy instructions entitling the petitioners to claim the relief prayed for in this writ petition.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to issue a writ. Hence, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

February 07, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No