

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-7757-2023
Date of Decision:-19.09.2023

Babita Soni and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Yadav, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has been verbally compromised, whereby, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.7 have agreed to take divorce by mutual consent, however, this averment has not been approved by learned counsel for respondent No.4, who is the alleged mother-in-law.

2. Be that as it may, there is no threat perception.

3. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case.

6. However, it is made clear that filing or pendency of this petition or any order/direction passed in this petition shall not be an *alibi* or defence to the petitioners, in case, they are found involved in any illegal

CRWP-7757-2023

-2-

activity and the respondent-Authorities shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

September 19, 2023
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No