

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(222)

CWP-7354-2016

Date of decision: - 22.03.2023

Ram Bharose

....Petitioner

Versus

Indian Council for Child Welfare and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus for quashing the impugned order dated 03.06.2015 and judgment dated 04.03.2016 (Annexure P-10 & P-20).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 has submitted that the petitioner has already vacated the premises in question and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not have the said instruction from the petitioner.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present petition in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 22, 2023
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No