

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108/4

CR-3656-2022
DATE OF DECISION: 12.01.2023

RAJESH KUMAR

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

NAINA RANI

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order of the Family Court wherein the interim maintenance of Rs.8000/- has been awarded to wife while a sum of Rs.4,000/- each has been awarded to the two children.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the maintenance is excessive as the petitioner does not have any other income besides his salary as a Constable in CISF. He is drawing a carry home salary of Rs.34,379/-.

Heard.

The petitioner is working as a Constable in the CISF. The salary statement of the petitioner for the month of December, 2021 which has been furnished by the learned counsel for the petitioner indicates that his total salary is Rs.38,134/- There has been an increase in dearness allowance of at least 7% since then. The gross salary in the certificate has been mentioned as Rs.38,134/- and therefore, now the total salary would be about Rs.40,000/-. A sum of Rs.8,000/- as maintenance for the wife and Rs.4,000/- each for the minor daughters cannot be said to be excessive.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in this appeal which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.01.2023

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No