

2023:PHHC:043629

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40271-2022
Date of Decision: 24.03.2023

VINOD KUMAR

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. R.S.Baweja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG Punjab.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 68 dated 26.04.2022 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC registered at Police Station Division No.4, Patiala.

On 19.09.2022, following order was passed:

“Status report by way of an affidavit of DSP, Homicide Forensic, Patiala has been filed by the learned State counsel in Court today, is taken on record.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that it is a case where the petitioner is not a habitual offender and when he stood as a surety in a case where Rajinder was accused, he was called by Rajinder for becoming a witness on the surety bonds in good faith and, in fact, the surety was rectified by the *Namberdar* and it is not the case that the petitioner has earlier also stood witness to any surety bonds or had executed any surety bonds and the petitioner also undertakes to join the investigation and also undertakes to cooperate with the investigation in all respects.

Adjourned to 24.03.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation

as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satvir Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and further submitted that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 19.09.2022 is made absolute.

24.03.2023

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No