

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-1884-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2023

Sandeep

... Petitioner

Vs.

Vineet Garg and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Ms. Alisha Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 11.11.2021, vide which it was directed that status quo will be maintained in case, the petitioner's services are contemplated to be replaced by another contractual employee, however, it cannot be construed that regular appointment, if proposed to be made against the post currently being manned by the petitioner, is not to be proceeded with.

As per affidavit of Divisional Forest Officer, Kurukshetra, no substitution of the contractual employee is made and a regular Driver has been transferred in place of the petitioner.

Accordingly, no willful disobedience is made out.

Disposed of.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so required.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

31.05.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No