

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26257-2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 02.11.2023**

Ashok Kumar Shah

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Chetan Slathia, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for respondents No.2 & 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On October 18, 2023, this Court had passed the following order:

**“Upon being pointedly asked, if the petitioner
(Ashok Kumar Shah), who is alleged to have executed a
General Power of Attorney dated 16.11.1995, in favour of
A.P. Jain, through whom the petition was filed, is even
alive, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner prays for
a short accommodation to ascertain a true position and
respond.”**

In reference to the aforesaid order, learned Senior counsel for the
petitioner submits that Attorney of the petitioner, namely, A.P. Jain, is present
in person. He submits that to respond to the order, extracted above, the

Attorney of the petitioner has made all possible efforts but he has not been able to establish contact with the petitioner. Further, neither the petitioner nor anybody on his behalf has contacted the Attorney for the past several years.

That being so, learned counsel for the parties conjointly submit that let the petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, if any, cause of action, interest or dispute still survives, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move appropriate application for restoration of the petition and its decision on the merit.

Ordered, accordingly.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

02.11.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO