

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CWP-26130-2018

Date of Decision : December 11, 2023

Invinder Pal Kaur**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. R.P. Dangi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the benefit of selection grade has not been extended to the petitioner though the same has been extended to one Sunita Panwar, who was junior to the petitioner.

2. The respondents have filed an affidavit of the Director Secondary Education, Haryana dated 31.10.2023 wherein, it has been mentioned that Sunita Panwar has been granted selection grade w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and the seniority No. of Sunita Panwar is 690 as on 01.04.1998 and she fell within 20% cadre strength of lecturer so as to get the benefit of the selection grade.

3. In the same affidavit, the petitioner has been mentioned at Seniority position No.433. It has also been mentioned that she was within

20% cadre strength of Lecturer. In the reply, it has been mentioned that keeping in view the said fact, which have come to the knowledge of the Department, the claim of the petitioner for the grant of benefit as given to the junior namely Sunita Panwar is under consideration and appropriate order will be passed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even after expiry of more than one month of the filing of the said affidavit, no action has been taken by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of selection grade w.e.f. the date the same was given to junior Sunita Panwar, will be finalized within a period of eight weeks from today and after finalization, in case the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the same will also be extended to her with the consequential benefits.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same in case the respondents do not comply with the undertaking.

7. Ordered accordingly.

December 11, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No