

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27750-2017

Date of decision : 16.03.2023

Tej Pal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondent authorities to take action on the notice dated 07.07.2017 against respondent Nos.8 and 9 on the ground that due permission has not been sought from the relevant Department.

Learned State Counsel has submitted that permission has been granted to respondent Nos.8 and 9 to construct welcome gate in Village Berka vide letter dated 21.12.2017 (Annexure R-3) subject to certain conditions and thus, it cannot be said that there is no permission in favour of

private respondent Nos.8 and 9. It is further submitted that the said permission has been granted subsequent to filing of the present writ petition and thus, nothing survives in the present writ petition.

Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners in order to rebut the abovesaid aspects. On 08.07.2022 also, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is however granted to the petitioners to file an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives to the petitioners.

16.03.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**