

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16793-2023

Date of decision : 03.08.2023

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing the impugned order dated 22.03.2023 passed by respondent No.2 vide which appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed and order dated 26.08.2019 passed by Collector vide which objections of the petitioner to the partition has been dismissed illegally and arbitrarily while passing a non-speaking order approved the partition with further prayer to direct respondent No.1 to adjudicate the ROR No.631 of 2023 filed on dated 26.05.2023 along with stay application.

It has been contended by counsel for the petitioner that the ROR No.631 of 2023 has already been filed by the petitioner along with stay application for the redressal of his grievances but despite various dates, neither the revision has been taken nor the stay application has been heard till date. He submits that now the revision is fixed for hearing before respondent No.4 on 08.08.2023. He submits that as per information, the concerned Officer is under transfer and there are bleak

chances that the case would be taken on 08.08.2023 as well. As the authorities are pursuing for dispossessing the petitioner, he would suffer an irreparable loss if the possession is taken without hearing his revision as well as stay application.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent No.1 to take up the ROR and the stay application on the date fixed i.e. 08.08.2023 and hear the parties on stay application and decide the same expeditiously as per law. However, in view of the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner, *status quo* would be maintained till the decision of the stay application filed by the petitioner.

03.08.2023
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No