

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216 CWP-647-2016
Date of Decision: 12.07.2023

Sonia Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Sinhal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

None for the remaining respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

Notice was issued to the petitioner as the counsel appearing for the petitioner pleads no instructions. It has come on record that the notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved with the report that the petitioner is not residing at the given address. In view thereof, the matter cannot be proceeded further.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that the present petition has become infructuous as services of the petitioner has already been terminated.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

12.07.2023 (SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
D.Bansal JUDGE