

250

2023:PHHC:039284

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40145-2022

Date of decision :16.03.2023

RAJINDER ALIAS MANKIRAT BAJWA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Pathania, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.112 dated 07.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 324, 323, 341, 506, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No.2, Tehsil and District Pathankot along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2.

Vide order dated 12.09.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 02.08.2022 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.09.2022 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Pathankot and as per the report dated 11.10.2022 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

CRM-M-40145-2022

-2-

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution

case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Pathankot accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.112 dated 07.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 324, 323, 341, 506, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No.2, Tehsil and District Pathankot along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

16.03.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No