

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-25817-2018
Date of decision : 14.02.2023

Amarjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Kochura, Advocate for
Mr.G.N.Malik, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider / decide the representation dated 11.04.2018 for not cancelling the lease allotted to the present petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the period of lease has elapsed and thus, he seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to institute proceedings so as to recover the damages on account of the fact that during the course of said lease deed, another lease was executed in favour of third party.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner,

the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and in case any such proceedings are instituted by the petitioner, it would be open to all the parties to raise pleas in the same and the Court / authority before whom the said proceeding are initiated would decide the independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 14, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No