

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38088-2023

Date of decision: 04.08.2023

PARAMJIT

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to take appropriate legal action on the representation dated 16.06.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that there had been a dispute between the petitioner and respondents No.5 to 8 pertaining to some loan amount and certain stamp papers and cheques were given as security, which the respondents No.5 to 8 are misusing by transferring the same to respondents No.9 to 10 and in this regard, petitioner has moved the representation dated 16.06.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2- The Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, but till date no action thereof has been taken.

3.
- Notice of motion.
4.
- On the asking of the Court, Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5.
- After hearing, without commenting on the merits of the case, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- The Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 16.06.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law expeditiously. The decision, so taken, be also intimated to the petitioner.
6.
- It is, however, made clear that any observation made above shall not affect the merits of the case nor the same will influence the concerned authority in deciding the representation in accordance with law.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

04.08.2023
kanika

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No