

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

Date of decision: 21.02.2023

(1) **CWP-25597-2018 (O&M)**

M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTDPetitioner

VERSUS

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERSRespondents

(2) **CWP-25601-2018**

M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTDPetitioner

VERSUS

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ANOTHERRespondents

(3) **CWP-25602-2018**

M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTDPetitioner

VERSUS

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ANOTHERRespondents

(4) **CWP-25609-2018**

M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTDPetitioner

VERSUS

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gautam Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of four writ petitions i.e CWP-25597-2018 (O&M) titled “M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTD versus PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERS”; CWP-25601-2018 titled “M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTD versus PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ANOTHER; CWP-25602-2018 titled “M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTD versus PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND ANOTHER and CWP-25609-2018 titled “M/S SAMAR ESTATES PVT LTD versus PERMANENT LOK ADALAT AND OTHERS” by a common judgment.

The present petitions have been filed raising a challenge to the award dated 13.06.2018 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Panchkula whereby the application submitted by the respondents under Section 22 (C) of the Legal Services Authority Act, 1989 had been allowed and the petitioner-developer had been directed to refund the amount deposited by them alongwith interest @ 9% per annum alongwith separate sums awarded towards compensation for physical and mental harassment and litigation expenses.

Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents-applicants contends that the respondent-applicants does not want any refund and is instead interested in allotment of the original flat. He has instructions to pray for withdrawal of the original claim application submitted by them before the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Panchkula.

The aforesaid prayer made by the respondent-applicant is not opposed to by the counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the application under Section 22 (C) of the Legal Services Authority Act, 1989 preferred by the respondent-applicant before the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Panchkula is permitted to be withdrawn alongwith all consequential orders that accrue therefrom.

Resultantly, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 21, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No