

2023:PHHC:054702

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6978-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.04.2023

Joginder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Ms.Molly A.Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. R.S.Pandher, Sr.DAG, Punjab

Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate for

Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocate for respondent no.3

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

1. The petitioner prays for issuance of an appropriate writ to direct the respondents to promote her to the post of Supervisor, Punjab State Welfare Board. She was appointed as Anganwadi Worker on 15.07.1997. Subsequently, the respondents invited applications for promotion to the post of Supervisor from those persons who are working as Anganwadi Workers. 286 vacancies of Supervisors were sought to be filled up. In the recruitment notice, it was specified that 50% of the vacancies shall be filled up from the Graduate Anganwadi Workers whereas remaining 50% shall be filled up from Matric Anganwadi Workers. It was further provided that the reservation shall be same as is applicable in the State of Punjab. Two seats were reserved for Ex-serviceman (Army) quota. The petitioner's claim was considered alongwith various other applicants. Smt. Rajwinder Kaur scored 25.74 marks and was promoted. The petitioner as well as Rajinder Kaur applied

under the category of Matric Anganwadi Workers. The second vacancy was for the Graduate Anganwadi Worker. The petitioner is not eligible to be considered against the aforesaid post.

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that though there was a broader division in the ratio of 50:50 amongst the Graduate and Matric Anganwadi Workers, however, for Ex-serviceman there was no specific clause. But, she admits that these two posts of Ex-serviceman are included in 286 posts of Supervisors, for which applications were invited.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts, no ground to issue the writ, as prayed for, is made out.

4. Hence, dismissed.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

18.04.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE