

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
*CHANDIGARH*

*CWP- 16940-2023 (O&M)*

Date of Decision:07.08.2023

*Jaspreeet Kaur*

*... Petitioner*

V/s.

*State of Punjab and others*

*... Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present : Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)**

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India by the petitioner seeking issuance of writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned letter dated 30.12.2021 (Annexure P-7) issued by respondent No. 4 whereby the claim of the petitioner for grant of compassionate appointment has been rejected by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner namely Sh. Sukhjit Singh, was working in the department as

Supervisor (Driver) in Head Officer at Chandigarh and died on 20.04.2021 from Covid-19. After some time, the mother of the petitioner also died and her brother namely Gurpreet Singh is living separately abroad with his family. The petitioner, who is though married but due to some matrimonial dispute has been living with her mother only at her parents home. The petitioner, being the legal heir of Sukhjit Singh (father), is eligible as well as entitled to be appointed against any Class-C post on compassionate grounds and has applied also for the said post vide application dated 15.06.2021 but till date no action has been taken so far on her application. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 27.03.2023 (Annexure P-12), which is still pending consideration with respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 and submits that respondent No. 3 is the appropriate authority to decide the legal notice dated 27.03.2023 (Annexure P-12).

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 27.03.2023 (Annexure P-12), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)  
JUDGE

07.08.2023  
seema

|                           |         |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes     |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes /No |