

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-26998-2017

Date of Decision : 07.12.2023

Rajinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Bank of Baroda and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Gaurav Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.B.Bagga, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondent-bank to decide legal notice dated 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-13) and declare unauthorised leave period of 12 days as authorised leave period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if the respondents are directed to decide legal notice dated 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-13) in a time bound manner.

3. Faced with this, learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 submits that the matter has already been thoroughly inquired and appropriate order stands passed, nevertheless, legal notice dated 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-13) of the petitioner would be re-looked and an appropriate order would be passed within six weeks from today.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for respondents
No.1 to 4, the present petition stands disposed of.

07.12.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>